Prenatal Screening (Genetic)

Policy Number: AHS – M2179	**Prior Policy Name and Number:** Not applicable
Initial Effective Date: June 01, 2023	**Current Effective Date:** January 1, 2027
Line(s) of Business: HMO; PPO; QUEST Integration; Medicare; FEP	**Precertification:** Refer to the GTM Utilization Review Matrix

POLICY DESCRIPTION | RELATED POLICIES | INDICATIONS AND/OR LIMITATIONS OF COVERAGE | TABLE OF TERMINOLOGY | SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND | GUIDELINES AND RECOMMENDATIONS | STATE AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS | APPLICABLE CPT/HCPCS PROCEDURE CODES | EVIDENCE-BASED SCIENTIFIC REFERENCES | REVISION HISTORY

I. Policy Description

Prenatal screening encompasses any testing done to determine the health status of the pregnant individual and/or fetus. Genetic prenatal screening encompasses screening to determine the risk of fetal abnormalities, including genetic and developmental abnormalities. Any individual undergoing screening tests, especially genetic carrier screenings, must realize the limitations of screening tests and the difference between screening and diagnostic testing. Screening refers to testing of asymptomatic or healthy individuals to search for a condition that may affect the pregnancy or individual, whereas diagnostic testing is used to either confirm or refute true abnormalities in an individual.[1,2]

This policy addresses broad prenatal genetic screening, as well as screening for conditions not addressed in condition-specific policies. For situations in which prenatal and pre-conception screening may be discussed in further detail, please see the "Related Policies" section of this policy document.

Terms such as male and female are used when necessary to refer to sex assigned at birth.

II. Related Policies

Policy Number	Policy Title
AHS-G2035	Prenatal Screening (Nongenetic)
AHS-G2055	Prenatal Screening for Fetal Aneuploidy
AHS-G2148	Genetic Testing for Hereditary Hearing Loss
AHS-M2017	Genetic Testing for Cystic Fibrosis
AHS-M2024	Genetic Testing for Polyposis Syndromes
AHS-M2028	Genetic Testing for *FMR1* Mutations
AHS-M2033	Chromosomal Microarray and Low-pass Whole-Genome Sequencing
AHS-M2039	Pre-Implantation Genetic Testing
AHS-M2077	Genetic Testing for Fanconi Anemia
AHS-M2167	Genetic Testing for Neurodegenerative Disorders
AHS-M2170	Red Blood Cell Molecular Testing

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

III. Indications and/or Limitations of Coverage

Application of coverage criteria is dependent upon an individual's benefit coverage at the time of the request. Specifications pertaining to Medicare and Medicaid can be found in the "Applicable State and Federal Regulations" section of this policy document.

1) Genetic counseling **IS REQUIRED** for individuals prior to and after undergoing genetic testing for prenatal or pre-conception care.

~~1)~~2) For individuals who are pregnant **or** who are capable of becoming pregnant and seeking pre-conception care, ~~single gene~~single-gene or multi-gene panel screening of the individual for conditions classified through ACMG as a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 condition (see Note 1) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.

~~2)~~3) For pregnant individuals and those capable of becoming pregnant who come from a family with a genetic disorder for which a properly validated test is available, the following testing **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**:

a) Testing restricted to the known mutation.

b) Comprehensive genetic testing, including multi-gene panel testing specific to the familial genetic disorder, when the specific familial mutation is unknown.

~~3)~~4) For individuals planning a pregnancy with a reproductive partner who is known or found to be a carrier of a recessively inherited disorder, genetic testing specific to the genes for which the reproductive partner is a carrier **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.

~~4)~~5) For pregnant individuals who are alloimmunized or who are at risk for alloimmunization because of their antigen status (e.g., RHD negative), fetal genotyping for RHD (e.g., Prenatal Detect RhD) or other red blood cell antigens (e.g., UNITY Fetal Antigen™ NIPT) using maternal plasma **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA** when **all** of the following conditions are met:

a) The pregnant individual is at least 11 weeks gestation;

b) Antigen typing of the pregnant individual's reproductive partner is unavailable or the reproductive partner is heterozygous for an antigen that is of concern for the pregnant individual (e.g., pregnant individual is RHD negative and their reproductive partner is RHD heterozygous);

c) Invasive diagnostic testing (i.e., amniocentesis or chorionic villus sampling [CVS]) is contradicted or has been declined.

~~5)~~6) For fetuses with a high risk for a genetic disorder, prenatal genetic testing using cells obtained for diagnostic cytogenetic testing (i.e., amniocentesis or CVS) **MEETS COVERAGE CRITERIA**.

~~6)~~7) Carrier screening for the same gene more than once per lifetime **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

The following does not meet coverage criteria due to a lack of available published scientific literature confirming that the test(s) is/are required and beneficial for the diagnosis and treatment of an individual's illness.

~~7)~~8) To screen for single-gene mutations (i.e., autosomal recessive, autosomal dominant, X-linked) in the fetus, the use of non-invasive prenatal screening (NIPS) **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA.**

~~8)~~9) For all other inherited medical disorders not meeting the above criteria, pre-conceptional or prenatal genetic testing **DOES NOT MEET COVERAGE CRITERIA**.

NOTES:

Note 1: Please see the "Guidelines and Recommendations" section of this policy for ACMG's tiered system based on carrier frequency (Tables 1-6).

Note 2: For two or more gene tests being run on the same platform, please refer to AHS-R2162 Reimbursement Policy.

IV. Table of Terminology

Term	Definition
ACMG	American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics
ACOG	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
ADA	American Diabetes Association
BSH	British Society for Haematology
CAP	College of American Pathologists
CAVD	Congenital absence of the vas deferens
cfDNA	Cell-free deoxyribonucleic acid
CFTR	*Cystic Fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator*
CMA	Chromosomal microarray
CNVs	Copy number variants
CVS	Chorionic villus sampling
DMD	Dystrophin
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dSGD	Dominant single-gene disorder
FRAXE	Fragile site, folic acid type, rare, Fra(X)(Q28) E
GJB6	Gap junction protein
HBB	Human beta-globin gene
HDFN	Hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn
ISPD	International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis
MMS	Microdeletion/microduplication syndromes
NGS	Next-generation sequencing
NIPS-Plus	Expanded non-invasive prenatal screening

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

NIPT	Non-invasive prenatal testing
NT	Nuchal translucency
PPVs	Positive predictive values
PQF	Perinatal Quality Foundation
RBC	Red blood cells
RHD	Rh blood group D antigen
Rhlg	Human Rho(D) immune globulin
sgNIPS	Single-gene non-invasive prenatal screening
SMA	Spinal muscular atrophy
SMFM	Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine
SMN1	*Survival of motor neuron 1*
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
TMRC	Transfusion Medicine Resource Committee

V. Scientific Background

Prenatal screening is a part of overall prenatal care to promote optimal care of both mother and baby. Prenatal screening allows for assessment and monitoring of the fetus for the presence of congenital defects or disease. Various professional medical organizations provide guidelines for prenatal screening. "Screening is an offer on the initiative of the health system or society, rather than a medical intervention in answer to a patient's complaint or health problem. Screening aims at obtaining population health gains through early detection that enables prevention or treatment."[3]

Genetic screening tests, including carrier screening for genetic mutations and fetal testing for chromosomal aneuploidy, can be a part of prenatal screening. Aneuploidy screening may be performed on cell-free DNA in maternal circulation or by examining maternal serum levels of specific biochemical markers for trisomy.[2] These non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) can decrease the number of more invasive procedures and the risks of unwanted side effects. A chromosomal microarray (CMA) can screen all chromosomes in a single test and "can detect many very small variants that cannot be detected by traditional karyotyping."[3] The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends CMA for instances where the ultrasound of a fetus shows a major structural abnormality.[4] CMA in this situation should be performed on DNA from amniotic fluid, chorionic villus cells, or cord blood, rather than on maternal serum cell-free DNA since the process does not include an amplification step and the maternal DNA signal would be many times higher than the fetal DNA.[5]

Several companies, such as LabCorp, have developed panels to test for potential genetic mutations in pregnant individuals, or in individuals planning to become pregnant. This includes the Inheritest® Carrier Screening which encompasses six different panels to identify potential genetic mutations. These six panels include the Inheritest® 500 PLUS Panel (which screens 525 genes for several clinically relevant genetic disorders), the Inheritest® Comprehensive Panel (which screens for more than 110 disorders), the Inheritest® Ashkenazi Jewish Panel (which screens for more than 40 Ashkenazi Jewish related disorders), the Inheritest® Society-Guided Panel (which screens for more than 13 disorders highlighted in the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists guidelines), the Inheritest® Core Panel (which screens for cystic fibrosis, fragile X

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

syndrome, and spinal muscular atrophy), and the Inheritest® CF/SMA (spinal muscular atrophy) Panel (which screens only for cystic fibrosis and spinal muscular atrophy).[6]

Additionally, the company BillionToOne has created a NIPS test. UNITY Complete® uses cell-free DNA from a maternal blood draw and assesses for seven aneuploidies (trisomy 21, trisomy 18, trisomy 13, monosomy X, XXX, XXY, and XYY), and five recessive conditions (cystic fibrosis, spinal muscular atrophy, sickle cell disease, alpha thalassemia, and beta-thalassemia). This screen functions in a sequential manner. First, the screen uses NGS of genomic DNA to assess maternal carrier status for genes associated with the most common single-gene recessive disorders. If the pregnant individual is identified as a carrier for a pathogenic variant in one or more of these genes, the sample is then reflexed to sgNIPS. In sgNIPS, NGS is performed on cfDNA extracted from the original blood sample, from which fetal risk is calculated. Fetal risk assessment is summarized as low-risk (fetal risk 1/500), high-risk (fetal risk >1/4), increased risk or decreased risk (fetal risk between 1/500 and 1/4), or no result.[7,8]

BillionToOne also has the UNITY Fetal Antigen Test, a noninvasive prenatal test (NIPT) that analyzes fetal DNA in the mother's blood to determine the fetal red blood cell antigen status early in pregnancy. The test uses quantitative counting template (QCT) technology to detect key fetal antigens associated with the hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (HDFN), including RhD, C,c E, K (Kell), and Fya (Duffy). By detecting these antigen genes, the UNITY test helps assess whether the fetus is at risk of alloimmunization in an Rh D-negative mother or in cases of other red blood cell incompatibilities.[9,10]

Red blood cell antigen discrepancy between a mother and fetus may also occur during pregnancy. This is known as hemolytic disease of the fetus and newborn (HDFN), and causes maternal antibodies to destroy the red blood cells of the neonate or fetus.[11] Alloimmunization is the immune response which occurs in the mother due to foreign antigens after exposure to genetically foreign cells, occurring almost exclusively in mothers with type O blood. However, while ABO blood type incompatibility is identified in almost 15% of pregnancies, HDFN is only identified in approximately 4% of pregnancies.[11] Another important inherited antigen sometimes found on the surface of red blood cells is known as the Rhesus (Rh)D antigen. During pregnancy and delivery, individuals who are RhD negative may be exposed to RhD-positive fetal cells, which can lead to the development of anti-RhD antibodies. This exposure typically happens during delivery and affects subsequent pregnancies; infants with RhD incompatibility tend to experience a more severe form of HDFN than those with ABO incompatibility. The clinical presentation of HDFN may be mild (such as hyperbilirubinemia with mild to moderate anemia) to severe and life-threatening anemia (such as hydrops fetalis). Less severely affected infants may develop hyperbilirubinemia within the first day of life; infants with RhD HDFN may also present with symptomatic anemia requiring a blood transfusion. In more severe cases, infants with severe life-threatening anemia, such as hydrops fetalis, may exhibit shock at delivery requiring an emergent blood transfusion.[11]

The administration of anti-D immune globulin has been able to dramatically reduce, but not eliminate, the number of RhD alloimmunization cases. "Anti-D immune globulin is manufactured from pooled plasma selected for high titers of IgG antibodies to D-positive erythrocytes."[12] Before the development of this anti-D immune globulin, it has been reported that 16% of pregnant RhD negative individuals with two deliveries of RhD-positive ABO-compatible infants became alloimmunized. However, this rate falls to 1-2% with routine postpartum administration of a single dose of anti-D immune globulin. An additional administration in the third trimester of pregnancy further reduces the incidents of alloimmunization to 0.1-0.3%.[12]

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

Fetal RhD genotyping using cell-free fetal DNA from maternal plasma can be performed to identify fetal blood type most accurately after 11 weeks of gestation. While the United States has not implemented fetal RhD genotyping for routine prophylaxis and fetal monitoring protocols, several European countries, such as Denmark, the Netherlands, England, Sweden, France and Finland, do utilize fetal RhD determination so that the administration of anti-D immune globulin can be avoided when an RhD negative fetus is identified.[12] Daniels, et al. (2007) report that approximately 40% of RhD negative pregnant individuals are carrying a RhD negative fetus; genotypic screening would, therefore, be very valuable in preventing these individuals from receiving unnecessary anti-D immune globulin. Kent, et al. (2014) suggest that the administration of anti-D immune globulin to one third of pregnant individuals who do not require this administration is unethical, and that the availability of RhD genotyping to all RhD negative pregnant individuals would assist in more informed choices being made regarding anti-D immune globulin administration. Finning, et al. (2008) agree with the previous statements, declaring that "high throughput RHD genotyping of fetuses in all RhD negative [individuals] is feasible and would substantially reduce unnecessary administration of anti-RhD immunoglobulin to RhD negative pregnant [individuals] with an RhD negative fetus."

Analytical Validity

A prospective cohort study by de Haas, et al. (2016) completed a nationwide program in the Netherlands to determine the sensitivity of fetal RhD screening for the safe guidance of targeted anti-immune globulin prophylaxis. A total of 25,789 RhD negative pregnant individuals participated in this study. Fetal testing for the *RHD* gene was assessed in the 27th week of pregnancy. Fetal *RHD* test results were compared to serological cord blood results after birth. "Sensitivity for detection of fetal *RHD* was 99.94% (95% confidence interval 99.89% to 99.97%) and specificity was 97.74% (97.43% to 98.02%). Nine false-negative results for fetal RHD testing were registered (0.03%, 95% confidence interval 0.01% to 0.06%)."[16] They conclude that fetal RhD testing is a highly reliable testing method.

Manfroi, et al. (2018) completed fetal *RhD* genotyping with real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) using cell-free fetal DNA extracted from maternal plasma. A commercial multiple-exon assay was used to determine fetal *RHD* genotypic accuracy. A total of 367 plasma samples obtained between the 24th and 28th weeks of pregnancy were used for this study. Neonatal results were available for 284 pregnancies. Sensitivity was reported at 100% and specificity at 97.5%. The diagnostic accuracy was 96.1% with the inclusion of 9/284 inconclusive results.[17] The authors conclude that this is therefore an accurate and reliable tool for targeted prenatal immunoprophylaxis.

Clinical Utility and Validity

Education and counseling are a key factor in prenatal screening and diagnostic tests. Yesilcinar and Guvenc (2021) found that a proactive intervention approach decreased anxiety and decisional conflict in the pregnant individual and increased attitudes towards the tests, having a positive effect on the pregnant individual's knowledge level and decision satisfaction. This allowed the individual to make more informed decisions, such as opting to have screening and diagnostic testing performed. Decreasing anxiety during pregnancy is beneficial to the fetus and individuals receiving educational intervention showed decreased anxiety when receiving genetic screening results as compared to individuals not receiving the same intervention.[18] Migliorini, et al. (2020) have also reported that the use of cell-free DNA (cfDNA) screening, combined with a detailed ultrasound examination, as a first-trimester risk

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

assessment is associated with improved maternal reassurance and satisfaction and decreased anxiety, as compared to individuals who received standard first-trimester combined screening with nuchal translucency (NT) and biochemistry.[19]

Biro, et al. (2020) report on a non-invasive prenatal testing method for congenital heart disease, utilizing the measurement of cell-free nucleic acid and protein biomarkers in maternal blood. Congenital heart disease is considered the most common fetal malformation. While prenatal ultrasonography is currently used to diagnose congenital heart disease, it is not the most accurate method. After a large review completed with PubMed and Web of Sciences databases, the authors conclude that most fetal congenital heart disease related disorders can be diagnosed by NIPT techniques. Further, cell-free RNAs and circulating proteins are potential biomarkers for fetal congenital heart disease and may be able to improve the detection rate in early pregnancies.[20]

A study by Persico, et al. (2016) investigated the clinical implication of cfDNA testing in high-risk pregnancies. In their cohort of 259 singleton pregnancies, cfDNA testing provided results in 249 (96.1%). Further, cfDNA testing identified 97.2% (35/36) of trisomy 21, 100% (13/13) of trisomy 18, 100% of trisomy 13 (5/5), and 75% of sex chromosome aneuploidies (3/4). The authors conclude that "a policy of performing an invasive test in [individuals] with a combined risk of ≥1 in 10 or NT ≥4 mm and offering cfDNA testing to the remaining cases would detect all cases of trisomy 21, 18 or 13, 80% of sex aneuploidies and 62.5% of other defects and would avoid an invasive procedure in 82.4% of euploid fetuses."[21] These data support the earlier meta-analysis that reported NIPT sensitivity of trisomy 21, trisomy 18, and trisomy 13 of 99%, 96.8%, and 92.1%, respectively and specificities of 99.92%, 99.85%, and 99.80%, respectively, for trisomies 21, 18, and 13.[22,23]

A multi-year study of more than 5000 patients in public hospitals in Spain examined the effect of NIPT on the number of invasive procedures performed, showing that the introduction of NIPT drastically reduced the incidences of invasive procedures. The data shows that despite a 60.5% reduction occurred in invasive procedures, the chromosomopathy detection rate was unaffected; moreover, the ratio of positive invasive procedures was improved to 50%, indicating that unwarranted invasive procedures had been avoided.[24] The authors of the study concluded, "NIPT introduction has caused a significant reduction of 60.5% of IP [invasive procedures] in high chromosomopathy risk patients after combined screening without modifying detection rate."[24]

A meta-analysis was completed by Mackie, et al. (2017), researching the accuracy of cell-free fetal DNA NIPT testing in singleton pregnancies. A total of 117 studies were included, analyzing 18 different conditions. For RHD testing, a sensitivity of 0.993 and specificity of 0.984 was identified and for fetal sex identification, a sensitivity of 0.989 and a specificity of 0.996 was calculated.[25] With such high sensitivity and specificity calculations, NIPT testing for fetal sex and RHD status may be considered accurate diagnostic tools.

Clausen, et al. (2014) completed a two-year evaluation of nationwide prenatal RhD screening in Denmark. A total of 12,668 pregnancies were analyzed, with blood samples drawn in week 25 of pregnancy. DNA was extracted from these blood samples and was analyzed for the *RHD* gene. Results were later compared to the serological typing of the newborns after birth. "The sensitivity for the detection of fetal *RHD* was 99.9% (95% CI: 99.7-99.9%). Unnecessary recommendation of prenatal RhD prophylaxis was avoided in 97.3% of the [individuals] carrying an RhD-negative fetus. Fetuses that were

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

seropositive for RhD were not detected in 11 pregnancies (0.087%)."[26] This study shows high sensitivity of fetal *RHD* genotyping, results which were recently supported by another large-scale meta-analysis completed by Yang, et al. (2019), focusing on NIPT testing for fetal RhD status. A total of 3921 results confirmed that "high-throughput NIPT is sufficiently accurate to detect fetal RhD status in RhD-negative [individuals] and would considerably reduce unnecessary treatment with routine anti-D immunoglobulin."[27]

Darlington, et al. (2018) completed an analysis of 11 French Obstetric Departments with a total of 949 patients to determine the effectiveness of RhD genotyping. The patients were separated into two groups (genotyping group: n=515, and control group: n=335). The authors concluded that "Early knowledge of the RHD status of the fetus using non-invasive fetal *RHD* genotyping significantly improved the management of *RHD* negative pregnancies with a small increase in cost."[28]

Runkel, et al. (2020) completed a systematic review to determine the benefit of NIPT for fetal RhD status in RhD negative pregnant individuals because "all non-sensitized Rhesus D (RhD)-negative pregnant [individuals] in Germany receive antenatal anti-D prophylaxis without knowledge of fetal RhD status." The meta-analysis included data from 60,000 participants, with the focus of the research on the impact of fetal and maternal morbidity. The researchers concluded that "NIPT for fetal RhD status is equivalent to conventional serologic testing using the newborn's blood. Studies investigating patient-relevant outcomes are still lacking."[29]

Hoskovec, et al. (2023) evaluated the "clinical performance of carrier screening for cystic fibrosis, hemoglobinopathies, and spinal muscular atrophy with reflex single-gene noninvasive prenatal screening (sgNIPS)."[7] In the study, 9151 pregnant individuals were screened for carrier status. As a result, 1669 (18.2%) of the sampled individuals were found to carry one or more harmful genetic variations and were subsequently tested using sgNIPS. The results of sgNIPS were then compared to the outcomes of 201 pregnancies, which were obtained from surveys completed by parents or reports from healthcare providers. In conclusion, carrier screening using sgNIPS during pregnancy presents an alternative approach that circumvents the need for a paternal sample. It offers accurate assessment of fetal risk promptly, facilitating prenatal counseling and pregnancy management.[7]

Westin, et al. (2022) conducted a retrospective study which aimed to "validate the sgNIPT in clinical samples and identify high-risk SCD fetuses in a cohort of at-risk pregnancies." This retrospective clinical investigation gathered 77 maternal blood samples from pregnant patients at either Baylor College of Medicine or the University of Alabama at Birmingham. These patients were identified as having at least one harmful HBB allele. The results of this study highlighted that sgNIPT screening promotes "efficient and accurate fetal risk assessment for SCD in pregnant patients."[30]

It is notable that the field continues to evolve, with potential shifts from one testing method to another in pursuit of optimality and comprehensiveness. A multicenter retrospective study of singleton high-risk pregnancies for chromosomal abnormalities was conducted by Zhu, et al. (2020) to evaluate the utility of expanded NIPS as compared with chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA). The analysis enrolled subjects who underwent expanded NIPS and CMA sequentially during pregnancy from 2015 through 2019. The study demonstrated that of the 943 high-risk pregnancies, 550 (58.3%) cases had positive NIPS results, while positive CMA results were detected in 308 (32.7%) cases, and the agreement rates between NIPS and CMA were 82.3%, 59.6% and 25.0% for trisomy 21, 18 and 13, respectively. Regarding

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

rare aneuploidies and segmental imbalances, NIPS and CMA results were concordant in 7.5% and 33.3% of cases. However, copy number variants were better detected with CMA than with NIPS and additional genetic aberrations were detected by CMA in one of 17 high-risk pregnancies that were otherwise passed over when processed with NIPS. The researchers contend that CMA should be offered for high-risk pregnancies to provide comprehensive detection of chromosomal abnormalities in these pregnancies.[31]

Zhang, et al. (2025) studied the clinical utility of plasma cfDNA and NGS based NIPT for the detection of dominant single-gene disorders (dSGDs). The authors used NIPT methods to target 34 genes, with 25 genes specifically correlated to neurodevelopmental disorders. Testing was performed on 567 pregnant people. The results were compared to invasive prenatal or postnatal genetic diagnosis obtained using whole-exome sequencing and Sanger sequencing. "NIPT-dSGD did not generate any false-positive or negative results, achieving 100% of sensitivity (95% CI, 71.7%-100%) and 100% of specificity (95% CI, 99.0%-100%)." The authors concluded that "NIPT-dSGD provides accurate genetic testing for de novo and paternally inherited variants of dominant genes, including those that do not cause any ultrasound abnormalities, which could assist clinicians and families in better pregnancy management."[32]

This policy focuses on genetic testing performed during pre-conception and/or prenatal periods as part of a comprehensive prenatal care program.

VI. Guidelines and Recommendations

American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)

In 2021, ACMG released an updated guideline for screening for autosomal recessive and X-linked conditions during pregnancy and pre-conception. Their practice resource reviews aim to recommend "a consistent and equitable approach for offering carrier screening to all individuals during pregnancy and preconception" and replaces any earlier ACMG position statements on prenatal/pre-conception expanded carrier screening and provide the following recommendations:

- "Analytical validity of carrier screening is to be established by a laboratory in compliance with CLIA/CAP regulations and adhering to ACMG Laboratory Standards and Guidelines."
- "As evidence evolves, ClinVar and ClinGen continually update pathogenicity of variants and the association between genes and conditions, respectively."
- "Carrier screening enables those screened to consider their reproductive risks, reproductive options, and to make informed decisions."
- "Published evidence supports clinical utility for carrier screening of multiple conditions simultaneously."
- "The phrase "expanded carrier screening" be replaced by "carrier screening."
- "Adopting a more precise tiered system based on carrier frequency:
  - Tier 4: <1/200 carrier frequency (includes Tier 3) genes/condition will vary by lab
  - Tier 3: ≥ 1/200 carrier frequency (includes Tier 2) includes X-linked conditions
  - Tier 2: ≥1/100 carrier frequency (includes Tier 1)
  - Tier 1: *CF* [Cystic Fibrosis] + *SMA* [spinal muscular atrophy] + Risk Based Screening"
    - "Tier 1 screening conveys the recommendations previously adopted by ACMG and ACOG" fand "adopts an ethnic and population neutral approach when screening for cystic fibrosis

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

and spinal muscular atrophy. Beyond these two conditions, additional carrier screening is determined after risk assessment, which incorporates personal medical and family history as well as laboratory and imaging information where appropriate."

  - "Tier 2 carrier screening stems from an ACOG recommendation for conditions that have a severe or moderate phenotype and a carrier frequency of at least 1/100." However, "data demonstrate that carrier screening for two common conditions using a carrier frequency threshold of 1/100 may not be equitable across diverse populations. Others have shown that limiting the carrier frequency to ≥1/100 creates missed opportunities to identify couples at risk for serious conditions."
  - "We define Tier 3 screening as carrier screening for conditions with a carrier frequency ≥1/200 . . . Tier 2 and Tier 3 screening prioritize carrier frequency as a way to think about conditions most appropriate for screening in the general population. However, when ACOG proposed this level, they did not specify whether it was thinking about carrier frequency in terms of the global population or subpopulations. We use "carrier frequency" to mean in any ethnic group with reasonable representation in the United States."
  - "Tier 4 includes genes less common than those in Tier 3 and can identify additional at-risk couples. Tier 4 has no lower limit carrier screening frequency and can greatly extend the number of conditions screened . . . the clinical validity at this level of carrier screening may be less compelling, therefore we suggest reserving this level of screening for consanguineous pregnancies (second cousins or closer) and in couples where family or medical history suggests Tier 4 screening might be beneficial . . . Importantly, patients should understand that their chance of being a carrier for one or more conditions increases as the number of conditions screened is increased."

- "All pregnant patients and those planning a pregnancy should be offered Tier 3 carrier screening.
- Tier 4 screening should be considered:
  - When a pregnancy stems from a known or possible consanguineous relationship (second cousins or closer).
  - When a family or personal medical history warrants."
- ACMG does NOT recommend:
  - Offering Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 screening, because these do not provide equitable evaluation of all racial/ethnic groups.
  - Routine offering of Tier 4 panels."
- "Carrier screening paradigms should be ethnic and population neutral and more inclusive of diverse populations to promote equity and inclusion."
- "All pregnant patients and those planning a pregnancy should be offered Tier 3 carrier screening for autosomal recessive (Tables 1–5) and X-linked (Table 6) conditions."
- "Reproductive partners of pregnant patients and those planning a pregnancy may be offered Tier 3 carrier screening for autosomal recessive conditions (Tables 1–5) when carrier screening is performed simultaneously with their partner."
- "All XX patients should be offered screening for only those X-linked genes listed in Table 6 as part of Tier 3 screening."
- "When Tier 1 or Tier 2 carrier screening was performed in a prior pregnancy, Tier 3 screening should be offered."[33]

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

Table 1. Autosomal recessive genes for screening with carrier frequency ≥1/50.

OMIM gene	OMIM gene name	Maximum carrier frequency[a]	OMIM phenotype	Conditions
141900	*HBB*	0.119837	603903	Sickle cell anemia β-thalassemia
			613985	
613208	*XPC*	0.050885	278720	Xeroderma pigmentosum
606933	*TYR*	0.049337	203100	Oculocutaneous albinism type 1A and 1B
			606952	
613815	*CYP21A2*	0.048459	201910	Congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to 21-hydroxylase deficiency
612349	*PAH*	0.046068	261600	Phenylketonuria
602421	*CFTR*	0.040972	219700	Cystic fibrosis
600985	*TNXB*	0.035134	606408	Ehlers–Danlos-like syndrome due to tenascin-X deficiency
606869	*HEXA*	0.033146	272800	Tay–Sachs disease
121011	*GJB2*	0.026200	220290	Nonsyndromic hearing loss recessive 1A
			601544	Nonsyndromic hearing loss dominant 3A
602858	*DHCR7*	0.023709	270400	Smith–Lemli–Opitz syndrome
277900	*ATP7B*	0.021983	606882	Wilson disease
608034	*ASPA*	0.019856	271900	Canavan disease
607008	*ACADM*	0.016583	201450	Medium-chain acyl-coenzyme A dehydrogenase deficiency
602716	*NPHS1*	0.015994	256300	Finnish congenital nephrotic syndrome
601785	*PMM2*	0.015877	212065	Carbohydrate-deficient glycoprotein syndrome type Ia
607440	*FKTN*	0.015660	611615	Cardiomyopathy, dilated, 1X
			253800	Walker–Warburg congenital muscular dystrophy
605646	*SLC26A4*	0.015422	600791	Deafness autosomal recessive 4
			274600	Pendred syndrome
126340	*ERCC2*	0.015255	610756	Cerebrooculofacioskeletal syndrome 2
			601675	Trichothiodystrophy 1, photosensitive
603297	*DYNC2H1*	0.014817	613091	Short-rib thoracic dysplasia 3 with or without polydactyly

OMIM Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man.[55]
[a]Values round to ≥ 0.02 (two decimal places).

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

Table 2. Autosomal recessive genes for screening with carrier frequency <1/50 to ≥1/100.

OMIM gene	OMIM gene name	Maximum carrier frequency[a]	OMIM phenotype	Conditions
610142	*CEP290*	0.014422	610188	Joubert syndrome 5
			611755	Leber congenital amaurosis 10
607839	*GBE1*	0.013799	232500	Glycogen storage disease, type IV
			263570	GBE1-related disorders
606800	*GAA*	0.013565	232300	Glycogen storage disease, type II (Pompe disease)
100725	*CHRNE*	0.013526	100725	Myasthenic syndrome, congenital, 4A, slow-channel
				Myasthenic syndrome, congenital, 4B, fast-channel
613742	*G6PC*	0.013401	232200	Glycogen storage disease type IA
611409	*OCA2*	0.013113	203200	Oculocutaneous albinism brown and type II
120120	*COL7A1*	0.012995	226600	Recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa
600509	*ABCC8*	0.012242	618857	Diabetes mellitus, permanent neonatal 3
612724	*ALDOB*	0.012119	229600	Hereditary fructosuria
613899	*FANCC*	0.011992	227645	Fanconi anemia, complementation group C
604597	*GRIP1*	0.011989	617667	Fraser syndrome
248611	*BCKDHB*	0.011760	245600	Maple syrup urine disease
613726	*ANO10*	0.010781	613728	Spinocerebellar ataxia 10
104170	*NAGA*	0.010637	609241	Schindler disease, type 1
				Schindler disease, type 3
607608	*SMPD1*	0.010259	257200	Niemann–Pick disease, type A
			607616	Niemann–Pick disease, type B
608400	*USH2A*	0.010203	276901	Usher syndrome, type 2A
609058	*MMUT*	0.009999	251000	Methylmalonic aciduria–methylmalonyl–CoA mutase deficiency
600650	*CPT2*	0.009742	600649	Carnitine palmitoyltransferase II deficiency, infantile
			608836	Carnitine palmitoyltransferase II deficiency, lethal neonatal
608894	*AHI1*	0.009740	608629	Joubert syndrome 3

OMIM Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man.[55]
[a]After rounding values are < 0.02 and ≥ 0.01 (two decimal places).

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

Table 3. Autosomal recessive genes for screening with carrier frequency <1/100 to ≥1/150.

OMIM gene	OMIM gene name	Maximum carrier frequency[a]	OMIM phenotype	Conditions
608172	*DHDDS*	0.009340	613861	Congenital disorder of glycosylation type 1
				Retinitis pigmentosa 59
606152	*SLC19A3*	0.009163	607483	Basal ganglia disease, biotin-responsive
606999	*GALT*	0.009132	230400	Galactosemia
118485	*CYP11A1*	0.008771	613743	Adrenal insufficiency, congenital, with 46, XY sex reversal, partial or complete
190000	*TF*	0.008615	209300	Atransferrinemia
609831	*MMACHC*	0.008610	277400	Methylmalonic aciduria with homocystinuria cblC type
601615	*ABCA3*	0.008587	610921	Surfactant metabolism dysfunction, pulmonary 3
606463	*GBA*	0.008572	230800	Gaucher disease, type I
			230900	Gaucher disease, type II
605248	*MCOLN1*	0.008531	252650	Mucolipidosis type IV
607840	*GNPTAB*	0.008454	252500	Mucolipidosis type II alpha/beta
			252600	Mucolipidosis type III alpha/beta
613228	*AGA*	0.008364	208400	Aspartylglucosaminuria
605514	*PCDH15*	0.008330	609533	Deafness, autosomal recessive 23
			602083	Usher syndrome, type 1F
613871	*FAH*	0.007716	276700	Tyrosinemia type I
607358	*AIRE*	0.007664	240300	Autoimmune polyendocrinopathy syndrome type I
606151	*BBS2*	0.007501	615981	Bardet–Biedl syndrome 2
			616562	Retinitis pigmentosa 74
606530	*CYP27A1*	0.007399	213700	Cerebrotendinous xanthomatosis
611204	*CCDC88C*	0.007282	236600	Congenital hydrocephalus 1
136132	*FMO3*	0.007190	602079	Trimethylaminuria
613277	*TMEM216*	0.007107	608091	Joubert syndrome 2
			603194	Meckel syndrome 2
605080	*CNGB3*	0.006849	262300	Achromatopsia 3
607117	*MCPH1*	0.006822	651200	Primary microcephaly 1, recessive
602671	*SLC37A4*	0.006748	232220	Glycogen storage disease Ib
			232240	Glycogen storage disease Ic
170280	*PRF1*	0.006734	603553	Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis, familial, 2
604272	*SCO2*	0.006671	604377	Mitochondrial complex IV deficiency, nuclear type 2
604285	*AGXT*	0.006648	259900	Hyperoxaluria, primary type I

OMIM Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man.[55]
[a]After rounding values are < 0.01 and ≥ 0.007 (two decimal places).

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

Table 4. Autosomal recessive genes for screening with carrier frequency <1/150 to ≥1/200.

OMIM gene	OMIM gene name	Maximum carrier frequency[a]	OMIM phenotype	Conditions
609575	*ACADVL*	0.006419	201475	Very long chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency
608310	*ASL*	0.006190	207900	Argininosuccinate aciduria
607261	*EVC2*	0.006083	225500	Chondroectodermal dysplasia
607574	*ARSA*	0.005986	250100	Metachromatic leukodystrophy
251170	*MVK*	0.005966	260920	Hyper-IgD syndrome
			610377	Mevalonic aciduria
606702	*PKHD1*	0.005960	263200	Autosomal recessive polycystic kidney disease
609019	*BTD*	0.005953	253260	Biotinidase deficiency
171760	*ALPL*	0.005719	146300	Hypophosphatasia, adult
			241510	Hypophosphatasia, childhood and infantile
209901	*BBS1*	0.005713	209900	Bardet–Biedl syndrome 1
118425	*CLCN1*	0.005688	255700	Congenital myotonia, autosomal recessive form
609506	*CYP27B1*	0.005512	264700	Vitamin D–dependent rickets, type 1
174763	*POLG*	0.005330	203700	Mitochondrial DNA depletion syndrome 4A
			613662	Mitochondrial DNA depletion syndrome 4B
609014	*MCCC2*	0.005184	210210	3-methylcrotonyl CoA carboxylase 2 deficiency
605908	*MLC1*	0.005058	604004	Megalencephalic leukoencephalopathy with subcortical cysts
607809	*ACAT1*	0.005000	203750	α-Methylacetoacetic aciduria
612013	*CC2D2A*	0.004969	612285	Joubert syndrome 9
			612284	Meckel syndrome 6
606718	*SLC26A2*	0.004715	226900	Epiphyseal dysplasia, multiple, 4
			600972	Achondrogenesis Ib
236200	*CBS*	0.004676	236200	Homocystinuria, B6 responsive and nonresponsive
600073	*LRP2*	0.004676	222448	Donnai–Barrow syndrome
252800	*IDUA*	0.004675	607014	Mucopolysaccharidosis, Ih (Hurler S)
			607015	Mucopolysaccharidosis, Ih/s (Hurler–Scheie S)
606596	*FKRP*	0.004668	613153	Muscular dystrophy–dystroglycanopathy, type A, 5
			606612	Muscular dystrophy–dystroglycanopathy, type B, 5
610326	*RNASEH2B*	0.004609	610181	Aicardi Goutieres syndrome 2
611524	*RARS2*	0.004592	611523	Pontocerebellar hypoplasia type 6

OMIM Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man.[55]
[a]After rounding values are < 0.007 and ≥ 0.005 (two decimal places).

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

Table 5. Genes that were ascertained for screening outside of the gnomAD criteria[a].

OMIM gene	OMIM gene name	Published carrier frequency[b]	Rationale for inclusion	Ethnic group	OMIM phenotype	Conditions
141800	*HBA1*	U[c]	Carrier frequency	SEA and others	604131	α-Thalassemia
141850	*HBA2*	U[c]	Carrier frequency	SEA and others	604131	α-Thalassemia
600354	*SMN1*	1/60[18]	ACOG/ACMG and carrier frequency	US panethnic	253300	
					253550	Spinal muscular
					253400	atrophy types: I, II, III, IV
					271150	
604982	*HPS1*	1/59[56–58]	Carrier frequency	PR	203300	Hermansky Pudlak S. 1
606118	*HPS3*	1/59[56]	Carrier frequency	PR	614072	Hermansky Pudlak S. 3
603722	*ELP1*	1/32[59]	ACOG/ACMG and carrier frequency	AJ	223900	Familial dysautonomia
606829	*FXN*	1/60–1/100[60]	Carrier frequency	Caucasians[d]	229300	Friedreich ataxia
238331	*DLD*	~1/100[59,61]	Carrier frequency	AJ	246900	Dihydrolipoamide dehydrogenase deficiency
161650	*NEB*	1/168[59]	Carrier frequency	AJ	256030	Nemaline myopathy 2
606397	*CLRN1*	1/120[59]	Carrier frequency	AJ	276902	Usher syndrome 3a
604610	*BLM*	1/100[59]	ACMG and carrier frequency	AJ	210900	Bloom syndrome

ACMG American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics, *ACOG* American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, *AJ* Ashkenazi Jewish (≥2% of the US population), *OMIM* Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man,[55] *PR* Puerto Rican, *SEA* South East Asian.
[a]Carrier frequency of a sequence variant is <1/200, if reported in gnomAD.[50]
[b]Diagnostic laboratory data was not used for carrier frequency data.
[c]Specific data for general US population not available; however, recognized as common among many US immigrant populations.[62]
[d]This term is no longer used by the journal but is used in the original article to which these studies refer. We have therefore not changed the term but recognize it does not accurately describe the ancestry of the populations originally studied.[46]

Table 6. X-linked genes recommended for carrier screening.

OMIM gene	OMIM gene name	OMIM phenotype	Phenotype
300371	*ABCD1*	300100	Adrenoleukodystrophy (ALD)
300806	*AFF2*	309548	Mental retardation, X-linked, associated with fragile site FRAXE
300382	*ARX*	308350	Developmental and epileptic encephalopathy 1 (DEE1)
300377	*DMD*	300376	Muscular dystrophy, Becker type (BMD)
		310200	Muscular dystrophy, Duchenne type (DMD)
306700	*F8*	300841	Hemophilia A (HEMA)
300746	*F9*	306900	Hemophilia B (HEMB)
309550	*FMR1*	300624	Fragile X syndrome (FXS)
300644	*GLA*	301500	Fabry disease
308840	*L1CAM*	307000	Hydrocephalus due to congenital stenosis of aqueduct of Sylvius (HSAS)
300552	*MID1*	300000	Opitz GBBB syndrome, type I (GBBB1)
300473	*NR0B1*	300200	Adrenal hypoplasia, congenital (AHC)
300461	*OTC*	311250	Ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency
300401	*PLP1*	312920	Spastic paraplegia 2, X-linked (SPG2)
312610	*RPGR*	300029	Retinitis pigmentosa 3 (RP3; RP)
		300455	Retinitis pigmentosa, X-linked, and sinorespiratory
		300834	Infections, with or without deafness
			Macular degeneration, X-linked atrophic
300839	*RS1*	312700	Retinoschisis 1, X-linked, juvenile (RS1)
300036	*SLC6A8*	300352	Cerebral creatine deficiency syndrome 1 (CCDS1)

OMIM Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man.[55]

Tables 1-6 from Gregg, et al. (2021).

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

CFTR Variant Testing

In 2020, the ACMG provided a technical standard for *CFTR* variant testing. These standards state the following as it pertains to pregnancy:

"During pregnancy, simultaneous testing may be desired depending on gestational age, family and personal history, ethnicity, or patient preferences. Carrier testing may be offered to individuals with a positive family history of CF, in partners of individuals with a positive family history, in partners of CAVD males, to reproductive age women, and to gamete donors. *CFTR* variant testing can also be performed for prenatal diagnosis using cells obtained for diagnostic cytogenetic testing (i.e., amniocentesis or chorionic villus sampling [CVS])."[34]

"As a way to ensure that *CFTR* variant testing for carrier screening and diagnostic testing purposes remains inclusive, the ACMG recommends either a classification-based reporting approach or a classification-based (targeted) testing approach (which has historically been used for CFTR carrier screening). For those laboratories who wish to continue using a targeted testing approach, the ACMG-23 variant panel remains as the minimum list of CFTR variants that should be included. Laboratories may want to consider adding additional variants to their panel depending on the ethnic composition of their expected test population. However, the minimum list of CFTR variants recommended for pan-ethnic carrier screening has not been increased at this time."[34]

In 2023, the ACMG provided updated recommendations for *CFTR* carrier screening which includes a new minimum *CFTR* variant set (increased from 23 to 100 variants). The updated ACMG position statement states the following:

"This new set now supersedes the previous set of 23 *CFTR* variants recommended by the ACMG. These revised recommendations apply only to carrier screening. They do not apply to *CFTR* variant testing for diagnosis or newborn screening. All other aspects of the updated 2020 ACMG *CFTR* technical standards still apply."[34,35]

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)

The ACOG has several practice guidelines related to prenatal care as well as both pre-conception and prenatal testing. ACOG recommendations and guidelines include the following:

Genetic Testing and Genetic Counseling: Concerning genetic testing and genetic counseling, ACOG recommends:

- "The routine use of whole-genome or whole-exome sequencing for prenatal diagnosis is **not** recommended outside of the context of clinical trials until sufficient peer-reviewed data and validation studies are published."[4] This was reaffirmed in ~~2023~~2025.
- Chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) is recommended for patients with a fetus with at least one major structure abnormality identified via ultrasound. CMA can be considered for all pregnant individuals who undergo prenatal diagnostic testing; however, "In a patient with a structurally normal fetus who is undergoing invasive prenatal diagnostic testing, either fetal karyotyping or a chromosomal microarray analysis can be performed. Chromosomal microarray analysis of fetal tissue (ie, amniotic fluid, placenta, or products of conception) is recommended in the evaluation of intrauterine fetal death or stillbirth when further cytogenetic analysis is desired because of the

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

test's increased likelihood of obtaining results and improved detection of causative abnormalities."[4] This was reaffirmed in ~~2023~~2025.

- "All patients who are considering pregnancy or are already pregnant, regardless of screening strategy and ethnicity, should be offered carrier screening for cystic fibrosis and spinal muscular atrophy, as well as a complete blood count and screening for thalassemias and hemoglobinopathies. Fragile X premutation carrier screening is recommended for [individuals] with a family history of fragile X-related disorders or intellectual disability suggestive of fragile X syndrome, or [individuals] with a personal history of ovarian insufficiency. Additional screening also may be indicated based on family history or specific ethnicity."[36] This was reaffirmed in ~~2023~~2025.
- "The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists discourages direct-to-consumer genetic testing without appropriate counseling. . . Patients may present after direct-to-consumer testing already has been performed, and clinicians should be prepared to review these results or refer to a health care professional with the appropriate knowledge, training, and experience in interpreting test results. . . Given the insufficient data to support the use of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) testing for medical purposes, SNP testing to provide individual risk assessment for a variety of diseases or to tailor drug therapy outside of an institutional review board-approved research protocol is not recommended. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommends that the use of these technologies be viewed as investigational at this time."[37] This was reaffirmed in 2023.
- ACOG notes that "Cascade testing has been shown to be cost effective in part because testing for specific mutations (e.g., those identified in the affected relative) is less expensive than whole-gene sequencing."[38] This was reaffirmed in 2022.

Prenatal Diagnostic Testing for Genetic Disorders: Concerning prenatal diagnostic testing for genetic disorders, ACOG has published the following recommendations:

- "An abnormal FISH result should not be considered diagnostic. Therefore, clinical decision making based on information from FISH should include at least one of the following additional results: confirmatory traditional metaphase chromosome analysis or chromosomal microarray, or consistent clinical information (such as abnormal ultrasonographic findings or a positive screening test result for Down syndrome or trisomy 18)."
- "All pregnant women should be offered prenatal assessment for aneuploidy by screening or diagnostic testing regardless of maternal age or other risk factors."
- "Prenatal genetic testing cannot identify all abnormalities or problems in a fetus, and any testing should be focused on the individual patient's risks, reproductive goals and preferences."
- "Genetic testing should be discussed as early as possible in pregnancy, ideally at the first obstetric visit, so that first-trimester options are available."[39] This was reaffirmed in 2024.

Prevention of Rh D Alloimmunization: Concerning the prevention of Rh D alloimmunization, ACOG has published the guidelines supporting the administration of anti-D immune globulin to individuals in various scenarios. However, these guidelines do not mention the use of cell-free fetal DNA for fetal RHD testing to determine if anti-D immune globulin is needed.[40] This was reaffirmed in 2024.

Paternal and Fetal Genotyping in the Management of Alloimmunization in Pregnancy:[41]

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

- "Paternal RHD zygosity testing using genotypic analysis is recommended for Rh-D alloimmunization risk assessment. It may be reasonable to defer or discontinue fetal surveillance for anemia in the setting of paternal genotyping that is RHD homozygous negative."
- "Fetal antigen genotyping is recommended when the paternal genotype is heterozygous or unknown."
- "Because cfDNA testing possesses performance characteristics that appear comparable with those of molecular testing, while avoiding the rare complications and costs associated with diagnostic genetic testing, it is reasonable to use it as an alternative tool for fetal RHD testing among alloimmunized patients with potentially at-risk pregnancies who decline amniocentesis."
- "Cell-free DNA for the assessment of selected non–Rh-D red blood cell antigens may be considered for pregnant patients declining amniocentesis, after weighing cost, access, and the encouraging-yet-limited data supporting its use."

For the determination of paternal and fetal genotyping in pregnant individuals with non-Rh-D alloimmunization, ACOG includes the following information: "Cell-free DNA has been evaluated for noninvasive assessment of other fetal red blood cell antigens. Recently, test performance was described for a commercially available product evaluation cfDNA to predict fetal Rh-D, C, c, E, K, and Fy[a] status, demonstrating high accuracy for these red blood cell antiven determinations. Further research may help to clarify whether cfDNA can be recommended for the assessment of non-Rh-D fetal red blood cell antigen status among alloimmunized pregnant people, similar to the guidance provided here for noninvasive Rh-D antigen determination. Amniocentesis remains the primary modality for determining fetal blood type, using PCR on uncultured amniocytes when paternal genotype is thought to be heterozygous or is unknown."[41]

In case of human Rho(D) immune globulin (Rhlg) shortages, ACOG has "prioritization and conservation strategies for consideration." "Although current ACOG guidance does not recommend routine use of noninvasive prenatal testing (NIPT) to determine fetal Rh(D) status based on cost-effectiveness analyses, the use of NIPT to prioritize use of RhIg and conserve RhIg supply is a reasonable consideration in the practice setting that is experiencing RhIg shortages." ACOG further states that "Noninvasive fetal red blood cell antigen genotyping utilizing cell-free DNA (cfDNA) isolated from maternal plasma has demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity for detection of fetal Rh(D) antigen status. If cfDNA testing results confirm an Rh(D)-negative fetus, RhIg would not need to be routinely administered in the antepartum period (for bleeding, abortion, pregnancy loss, or at 28 weeks of gestation). Available cfDNA testing options for Rh(D) may vary depending on location and practice setting (eg, companies offering the test; whether the test is offered as a stand-alone or combined with aneuploidy testing; timing of results; insurance coverage) and should be confirmed before implementation."[42] This was reaffirmed in 2025.

Screening for fetal chromosomal abnormalities updated the guidance on the evolving applications of cfDNA screening, including its use in detecting sex chromosome aneuploidies and microdeletions and its application in multifetal gestations. Here, ACOG recommend the following:

- "We recommend that cfDNA screening for common aneuploidies (trisomies 21, 18, and 13) be made routinely available to all obstetrical patients (GRADE 1B)."

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

- "We recommend cfDNA as the most sensitive and specific screening test for common fetal aneuploidies (trisomies 21, 18, and 13) in any patient population. After pretest counseling, every patient has the right to pursue or decline prenatal genetic screening and diagnostic testing (GRADE 1B)."
- "We recommend that screening for sex chromosome aneuploidies be made available to obstetrical patients as an 'opt-in' consideration with appropriate pretest counseling (GRADE 1C)."
- "We do not recommend routine general population screening for any microdeletion condition. Patients who choose to undergo cfDNA screening for 22q11.2 deletion specifically should do so only after appropriate pretest counseling. Pregnant people who are interested in obtaining information regarding the risk for fetal copy number variants should be offered diagnostic testing as opposed to cfDNA screening for microdeletion syndromes (GRADE 1C)."
- "We recommend cfDNA as a first-line screening option for trisomy 21 detection in twin gestations (GRADE 1B)."
- "Although the numbers of affected pregnancies are limited, the detection rates associated with trisomy 18 and 13 appear to be consistently high in twin gestations, and cfDNA screening for these conditions is recommended (GRADE 1B)."
- "Because of a lack of data, cfDNA screening for sex chromosome aneuploidy in twin gestations and cfDNA screening for higher-order multiples are not recommended (GRADE 1C)."
- "We do not recommend the routine use of cfDNA testing for large genome-wide copy number deletions or duplications (GRADE 1C)."[43]

Genetic Carrier Screening: Concerning genetic carrier screening, including testing for specific conditions, ACOG recommends (reaffirmed ~~2023~~2025):[~~36,43~~36,44]

- "Carrier screening and counseling ideally should be performed before pregnancy.
- "If an individual is found to be a carrier for a specific condition, the individual's reproductive partner should be offered testing in order to receive informed genetic counseling about potential reproductive outcomes. Concurrent screening of the patient and her partner is suggested if there are time constraints for decisions about prenatal diagnostic evaluation."
- "Carrier screening for a particular condition generally should be performed only once in a person's lifetime, and the results should be documented in the patient's health record. Because of the rapid evolution of genetic testing, additional mutations may be included in newer screening panels. The decision to rescreen a patient should be undertaken only with the guidance of a genetics professional who can best assess the incremental benefit of repeat testing for additional mutations."
- "Prenatal carrier screening does not replace newborn screening, nor does newborn screening replace the potential value of prenatal carrier screening."
- "The cost of carrier screening for an individual condition may be higher than the cost of testing through commercially available expanded carrier screening panels. When selecting a carrier screening approach, the cost of each option to the patient and the health care system should be considered."
- "Screening for spinal muscular atrophy should be offered to all [individuals] who are considering pregnancy or are currently pregnant. In patients with a family history of spinal muscular atrophy, molecular testing reports of the affected individual and carrier testing of the related parent should

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

be reviewed, if possible, before testing. If the reports are not available, *SMN1* deletion testing should be recommended for the low-risk partner."

- "Cystic fibrosis carrier screening should be offered to all [individuals] who are considering pregnancy or are currently pregnant. Complete analysis of the *CFTR* gene by DNA sequencing is not appropriate for routine carrier screening."
- "A complete blood count with red blood cell indices should be performed in all [individuals] who are currently pregnant to assess not only their risk of anemia but also to allow assessment for risk of a hemoglobinopathy. Ideally, this testing also should be offered to [individuals] before pregnancy. A hemoglobin electrophoresis should be performed in addition to a complete blood count if there is suspicion of hemoglobinopathy based on ethnicity (African, Mediterranean, Middle Eastern, Southeast Asian, or West Indian descent). If red blood cell indices indicate a low mean corpuscular hemoglobin or mean corpuscular volume, hemoglobin electrophoresis also should be performed."
- "Fragile X premutation carrier screening is recommended for [individuals] with a family history of fragile X-related disorders or intellectual disability suggestive of fragile X syndrome and who are considering pregnancy or are currently pregnant."
- "If a [individual] has unexplained ovarian insufficiency or failure or an elevated follicle-stimulating hormone level before age 40 years, fragile X carrier screening is recommended to determine whether she has an *FMR1* premutation."
- "All identified individuals with intermediate results and carriers of a fragile X premutation or full mutation should be provided follow-up genetic counseling to discuss the risk to their offspring of inheriting an expanded full-mutation fragile X allele and to discuss fragile X-associated disorders (premature ovarian insufficiency and fragile X tremor/ataxia syndrome)."
- "Prenatal diagnostic testing for fragile X syndrome should be offered to known carriers of the fragile X premutation or full mutation."
- "DNA-based molecular analysis (eg, Southern blot analysis and polymerase chain reaction) is the preferred method of diagnosis of fragile X syndrome and of determining *FMR1* triplet repeat number (e.g., premutations). In rare cases, the size of the triplet repeat and the methylation status do not correlate, which makes it difficult to predict the clinical phenotype. In cases of this discordance, the patient should be referred to a genetics professional."
- "When only one partner is of Ashkenazi Jewish descent, that individual should be offered screening first. If it is determined that this individual is a carrier, the other partner should be offered screening. However, the couple should be informed that the carrier frequency and the detection rate in non-Jewish individuals are unknown for most of these disorders, except for Tay–Sachs disease and cystic fibrosis. Therefore, it is difficult to accurately predict the couple's risk of having a child with the disorder."
- "Screening for Tay–Sachs disease should be offered when considering pregnancy or during pregnancy if either member of a couple is of Ashkenazi Jewish, French–Canadian, or Cajun descent. Those with a family history consistent with Tay–Sachs disease also should be offered screening. When one member of a couple is at high risk (i.e., of Ashkenazi Jewish, French–Canadian, or Cajun descent or has a family history consistent with Tay–Sachs disease) but the other partner is not, the high-risk partner should be offered screening. If the high-risk partner is found to be a carrier, the other partner also should be offered screening."

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

- "Enzyme testing in pregnant [individuals] and [individuals] taking oral contraceptives should be performed using leukocyte testing because serum testing is associated with an increased false-positive rate in these populations."
- "If Tay–Sachs disease screening is performed as part of pan-ethnic expanded carrier screening, it is important to recognize the limitations of the mutations screened in detecting carriers in the general population. In the presence of a family history of Tay–Sachs disease, expanded carrier screening panels are not the best approach to screening unless the familial mutation is included on the panel."[~~43~~44]
- Regarding expanded carrier screening panels, ACOG recommends that "the disorders selected for inclusion should meet several of the following consensus-determined criteria: have a carrier frequency of 1 in 100 or greater, have a well-defined phenotype, have a detrimental effect on quality of life, cause cognitive or physical impairment, require surgical or medical intervention, or have an onset early in life." ACOG further states that "screened conditions should be able to be diagnosed prenatally and may afford opportunities for antenatal intervention to improve perinatal outcomes, changes to delivery management to optimize newborn and infant outcomes, and education of the parents about special care needs after birth."[36]

Carrier Screening in the Age of Genomic Medicine: Concerning carrier screening in the age of genomic medicine, the ACOG has published the following guidelines:[36]

- "Ethnic-specific, pan-ethnic and expanded carrier screening are acceptable strategies for prepregnancy and prenatal carrier screening.
- If a patient requests a screening strategy other than the one used by the obstetrician-gynecologist or other health care provider, the requested test should be made available to her after counseling on its limitations, benefits, and alternatives.
- All patients who are considering pregnancy or already pregnant, regardless of screening strategy and ethnicity, should be offered carrier screening for cystic fibrosis and spinal muscular atrophy, as well as a complete blood count and screening for thalassemias and hemoglobinopathies. Fragile X premutation carrier screening is also recommended for [individuals] with a family history of fragile x-related disorders or intellectual disability suggestive of fragile X syndrome, or [individuals] with a personal history of ovarian insufficiency. Additional screening also may be indicated based on family history or specific ethnicity.
- If a [individual] is found to be a carrier for a specific condition, her reproductive partner should be offered screening to provide accurate genetic counseling for the couple with regard to the risk of having an affected child. Additional genetic counseling should be provided to discuss the specific condition, residual risk, and options for prenatal testing.
- Individuals with a family history of a genetic disorder may benefit from the identification of the specific familial mutation or mutations rather than carrier screening. Knowledge of the specific familial mutation may allow for more specific and rapid prenatal diagnosis.
- Given the multitude of conditions that can be included in expanded carrier screening panels, the disorders selected for inclusion should meet several of the following consensus-determined criteria: have a carrier frequency of 1 in 100 or greater, have a well-defined phenotype, have a detrimental effect on quality of life, cause cognitive or physical impairment, require surgical or medical intervention, or have an onset early in life. Additionally, screened conditions should be able to be diagnosed prenatally and may afford opportunities for antenatal intervention to

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

improve perinatal outcomes, changes to delivery management to optimize newborn and infant outcomes, and education of the parents about special care needs after birth.
- Carrier screening panels should not include conditions primarily associated with a disease of adult onset."[36] This guideline was reaffirmed in ~~2023~~2025.

International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis (ISPD), the Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM), and the Perinatal Quality Foundation (PQF)

The ISPD, SMFM and PQF published the following guidelines on the use of genome-wide sequencing for fetal diagnosis:

- The use of diagnostic sequencing is currently being introduced for evaluation of fetuses for whom standard diagnostic genetic testing, such as CMA, has already been performed and is uninformative, is offered concurrently according to accepted practice guidelines, or for whom expert genetic opinion determines that standard genetic testing is less optimal than sequencing for the presenting fetal phenotype.
- The routine use of prenatal sequencing as a diagnostic test cannot currently be supported due to insufficient validation data and knowledge about its benefits and pitfalls.[44~~45~~]

In addition to the joint position statement released in 2018, the IPSD released a guideline in 2020 on the use of cfDNA screening for trisomies in multiple pregnancies:

- "The use of first trimester cfDNA screening for the common autosomal trisomies is appropriate for twin pregnancies due to sufficient evidence showing high detection and low false positive rates with high predictive values. **Moderate."**
- "It is preferable for laboratories performing cfDNA testing in multi-fetal pregnancies to take evidence of zygosity into consideration (eg, chorionicity, sex of the fetuses, embryo transfer history) for the interpretation of both test results and fetal fractions. **Moderate."**
- "Screening options for triplet pregnancies are lacking and cfDNA may be a potential option. However, diagnostic testing should always be offered and the limitations of screening tests stressed. **Low."**[45~~45~~46]

College of American Pathologists (CAP) Transfusion Medicine Resource Committee (TMRC) Work Group

The following recommendations were given by the CAP TMRC Work Group:

- The Work Group recommends that *RHD* genotyping be performed whenever a discordant RhD typing result and/or a serological weak D phenotype is detected in patients, including pregnant individuals, newborns, and potential transfusion recipients. It is anticipated that the immediate benefit will be fewer unnecessary injections of RhIG and increased availability of RhD negative RBCs for transfusion.
- Other than RHD genotypes weak D type 1, 2, or 3, the Work Group recommends that individuals with a serological weak D phenotype receive conventional prophylaxis with RhIG, including postpartum RhIG if the newborn is RhD-positive or has a serological weak D phenotype.[46~~46~~47]

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

British Society for Haematology (BSH)

The BSH states that, "Invasive testing is not contraindicated if alloimmunisation has occurred. There is a risk of increasing the degree of alloimmunisation, or causing alloimmunisation to new antigens, as a result of the procedure, and this should be discussed with the woman. If the mother is RhD negative and is not already sensitised, anti-D immunoglobulin should be given following the procedure." The BSH also reiterates that "the use of high- throughput, non-invasive prenatal diagnosis of foetal *RH*D status was recommended by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) in 2016."[47][48]

VII. Applicable State and Federal Regulations

DISCLAIMER: If there is a conflict between this policy and any relevant, applicable government policy for a particular member [e.g., Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs) or National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) for Medicare and/or state coverage for Medicaid], then the government policy will be used to make the determination. For the most up-to-date Medicare policies and coverage, please visit the Medicare search website https://www.cms.gov/Medicare-Coverage-Database/search.aspx. For the most up-to-date Medicaid policies and coverage, please visit the applicable state Medicaid website.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

The FDA has approved many tests for conditions that can be included in prenatal screening, such as HSV, chlamydia, gonorrhea, syphilis, and diabetes. Additionally, many labs have developed specific tests that they must validate and perform in-house. These laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are regulated by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) as high-complexity tests under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (CLIA '88). LDTs are not approved or cleared by the U. S. Food and Drug Administration; however, FDA clearance or approval is not currently required for clinical use.

VIII. Important Reminder

The purpose of this Medical Policy is to provide a guide to coverage. This Medical Policy is not intended to dictate to providers how to practice medicine. Nothing in this Medical Policy is intended to discourage or prohibit providing other medical advice or treatment deemed appropriate by the treating physician.

Benefit determinations are subject to applicable member contract language. To the extent there are any conflicts between these guidelines and the contract language, the contract language will control.

This Medical Policy has been developed through consideration of the medical necessity criteria under Hawaii's Patients' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4) or for QUEST members, under Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR 1700.1-42), generally accepted standards of medical practice and review of medical literature and government approval status.

HMSA has determined that services not covered under this Medical Policy will not be medically necessary under Hawaii law in most cases. If a treating physician disagrees with HMSA's determination as to medical necessity in a given case, the physician may request that HMSA reconsider the application of the medical necessity criteria to the case at issue in light of any supporting documentation.

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

Genetic testing is covered for level 1 or 2A recommendations of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN and in accordance with Hawaii's Patients' Bill of Rights and Responsibilities Act (Hawaii Revised Statutes §432E-1.4) or for QUEST members, the Hawaii Administrative Rules (HAR 1700.1-42).

IX. Applicable CPT/HCPCS Procedure Codes

CPT	Code Description
81171	AFF2 (ALF transcription elongation factor 2 [FMR2]) (eg, fragile X intellectual disability 2 [FRAXE]) gene analysis; evaluation to detect abnormal (eg, expanded) alleles
81172	AFF2 (ALF transcription elongation factor 2 [FMR2]) (eg, fragile X intellectual disability 2 [FRAXE]) gene analysis; characterization of alleles (eg, expanded size and methylation status)
81200	ASPA (aspartoacylase) (eg, Canavan disease) gene analysis, common variants (eg, E285A, Y231X)
81209	BLM (Bloom syndrome, RecQ helicase-like) (eg, Bloom syndrome) gene analysis, 2281del6ins7 variant
81241	F5 (coagulation factor V) (eg, hereditary hypercoagulability) gene analysis, Leiden variant
81242	FANCC (Fanconi anemia, complementation group C) (eg, Fanconi anemia, type C) gene analysis, common variant (eg, IVS4+4A>T)
81243	FMR1 (fragile X messenger ribonucleoprotein 1) (eg, fragile X syndrome, X-linked intellectual disability [XLID]) gene analysis; evaluation to detect abnormal (eg, expanded) alleles
81244	FMR1 (fragile X messenger ribonucleoprotein 1) (eg, fragile X syndrome, X-linked intellectual disability [XLID]) gene analysis; characterization of alleles (eg, expanded size and promoter methylation status)
81251	GBA (glucosidase, beta, acid) (eg, Gaucher disease) gene analysis, common variants (eg, N370S, 84GG, L444P, IVS2+1G>A)
81252	GJB2 (gap junction protein, beta 2, 26kDa, connexin 26) (eg, nonsyndromic hearing loss) gene analysis; full gene sequence
81253	GJB2 (gap junction protein, beta 2, 26kDa, connexin 26) (eg, nonsyndromic hearing loss) gene analysis; known familial variants
81255	HEXA (hexosaminidase A [alpha polypeptide]) (eg, Tay-Sachs disease) gene analysis, common variants (eg, 1278insTATC, 1421+1G>C, G269S)
81257	HBA1/HBA2 (alpha globin 1 and alpha globin 2) (eg, alpha thalassemia, Hb Bart hydrops fetalis syndrome, HbH disease), gene analysis; common deletions or variant (eg, Southeast Asian, Thai, Filipino, Mediterranean, alpha3.7, alpha4.2, alpha20.5, Constant Spring)
81260	IKBKAP (inhibitor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells, kinase complex-associated protein) (eg, familial dysautonomia) gene analysis, common variants (eg, 2507+6T>C, R696P)
81290	MCOLN1 (mucolipin 1) (eg, Mucolipidosis, type IV) gene analysis, common variants (eg, IVS3-2A>G, del6.4kb)
81329	SMN1 (survival of motor neuron 1, telomeric) (eg, spinal muscular atrophy) gene analysis; dosage/deletion analysis (eg, carrier testing), includes SMN2 (survival of motor neuron 2, centromeric) analysis, if performed

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

81330	SMPD1(sphingomyelin phosphodiesterase 1, acid lysosomal) (eg, Niemann-Pick disease, Type A) gene analysis, common variants (eg, R496L, L302P, fsP330)
81400	Molecular pathology procedure, Level 1 (eg, identification of single germline variant [eg, SNP] by techniques such as restriction enzyme digestion or melt curve analysis)
81401	Molecular pathology procedure, Level 2 (eg, 2-10 SNPs, 1 methylated variant, or 1 somatic variant [typically using nonsequencing target variant analysis], or detection of a dynamic mutation disorder/triplet repeat)
81403	Molecular pathology procedure, Level 4 (eg, analysis of single exon by DNA sequence analysis, analysis of >10 amplicons using multiplex PCR in 2 or more independent reactions, mutation scanning or duplication/deletion variants of 2-5 exons)
81404	Molecular pathology procedure, Level 5 (eg, analysis of 2-5 exons by DNA sequence analysis, mutation scanning or duplication/deletion variants of 6-10 exons, or characterization of a dynamic mutation disorder/triplet repeat by Southern blot analysis)
81405	Molecular pathology procedure, Level 6 (eg, analysis of 6-10 exons by DNA sequence analysis, mutation scanning or duplication/deletion variants of 11-25 exons, regionally targeted cytogenomic array analysis)
81406	Molecular pathology procedure, Level 7 (eg, analysis of 11-25 exons by DNA sequence analysis, mutation scanning or duplication/deletion variants of 26-50 exons))
81412	Ashkenazi Jewish associated disorders (eg, Bloom syndrome, Canavan disease, cystic fibrosis, familial dysautonomia, Fanconi anemia group C, Gaucher disease, Tay-Sachs disease), genomic sequence analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 9 genes, including ASPA, BLM, CFTR, FANCC, GBA, HEXA, IKBKAP, MCOLN1, and SMPD1
81443	Genetic testing for severe inherited conditions (eg, cystic fibrosis, Ashkenazi Jewish-associated disorders [eg, Bloom syndrome, Canavan disease, Fanconi anemia type C, mucolipidosis type VI, Gaucher disease, Tay-Sachs disease], beta hemoglobinopathies, phenylketonuria, galactosemia), genomic sequence analysis panel, must include sequencing of at least 15 genes (eg, ACADM, ARSA, ASPA, ATP7B, BCKDHA, BCKDHB, BLM, CFTR, DHCR7, FANCC, G6PC, GAA, GALT, GBA, GBE1, HBB, HEXA, IKBKAP, MCOLN1, PAH)
81479	Unlisted molecular pathology procedure
81599	Unlisted multianalyte assay with algorithmic analysis
S3844	DNA analysis of the connexin 26 gene (GJB2) for susceptibility to congenital, profound deafness
S3845	Genetic testing for alpha-thalassemia
S3846	Genetic testing for hemoglobin E beta-thalassemia
S3849	Genetic testing for niemann-pick disease
0400U	Obstetrics (expanded carrier screening), 145 genes by next-generation sequencing, fragment analysis and multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification, DNA, reported as carrier positive or negative Proprietary test: Genesys Carrier Panel Lab/Manufacturer: Genesys Diagnostics, Inc
0449U	Carrier screening for severe inherited conditions (eg, cystic fibrosis, spinal muscular atrophy, beta hemoglobinopathies [including sickle cell disease], alpha thalassemia), regardless of race or self-identified ancestry, genomic sequence analysis panel, must include analysis of 5 genes (CFTR, SMN1, HBB, HBA1, HBA2)

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

	Proprietary test: UNITY Carrier Screen™ Lab/Manufacturer: BillionToOne Laboratory, BillionToOne, Inc
0488U	Obstetrics (fetal antigen noninvasive prenatal test), ~~cellfree~~cell-free DNA sequence analysis for detection of fetal presence or absence of 1 or more of the Rh, C, c, D, E, Duffy (Fya), or Kell (K) antigen in alloimmunized pregnancies, reported as selected antigen(s) detected or not detected Do not report 0488U in conjunction with 0632U) Proprietary test: UNITY Fetal Antigen™ NIPT Lab/Manufacturer: BillionToOne Laboratory, BillionToOne, Inc
0489U	Obstetrics (single-gene noninvasive prenatal test), ~~cellfree~~cell-free DNA sequence analysis of 1 or more targets (eg, CFTR, SMN1, HBB, HBA1, HBA2) to identify paternally inherited pathogenic variants, and relative mutation-dosage analysis based on molecular counts to determine fetal inheritance of maternal mutation, algorithm reported as a fetal risk score for the condition (eg, cystic fibrosis, spinal muscular atrophy, beta hemoglobinopathies [including sickle cell disease], alpha thalassemia) Proprietary test: UNITY Fetal Risk Screen™ Lab/Manufacturer: BillionToOne Laboratory, BillionToOne, Inc
0494U	Red blood cell antigen (fetal RhD gene analysis), next-generation sequencing of circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) of blood in pregnant individuals known to be RhD-negative, reported as positive or negative Proprietary test: Rh Test Lab/Manufacturer: Natera™
0536U	Red blood cell antigen (fetal RhD), PCR analysis of exon 4 of RHD gene and housekeeping control gene GAPDH from whole blood in pregnant individuals at 10+ weeks gestation known to be RhD negative, reported as fetal RhD status Proprietary test: Prenatal Detect RhD Lab/Manufacturer: Devyser Genomic Laboratories, Devyser AB
0632U	Red blood cell antigen (fetal RhD gene analysis), multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and next-generation sequencing (NGS) of circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA), plasma from pregnant individuals known to be RhD negative, reported as detected or not detected (Do not report 0632U in conjunction with 0488U) Proprietary test: UNITY Fetal RhD NIPT™ Lab/Manufacturer: BillionToOne Laboratory, BillionToOne, Inc
0633U	Obstetrics (single-gene noninvasive prenatal test), cell-free DNA (cfDNA), next-generation sequencing (NGS) analysis of 1 or more targets (eg, CFTR, SMN1, HBB, HBA1, HBA2) to identify paternally inherited pathogenic variants and to determine fetal inheritance of maternal mutation, using maternal blood sample, algorithm reported as a fetal risk score Proprietary test: Fetal Focus Lab/Manufacturer: Natera™, Inc, Natera™, Inc

Current Procedural Terminology© American Medical Association. All Rights reserved.

Procedure codes appearing in Medical Policy documents are included only as a general reference tool for each policy. They may not be all-inclusive.

X. Evidence-based Scientific References

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

1. Grant A, Mohide P. Screening and diagnostic tests in antenatal care. *Effectiveness and satisfaction in antenatal care*. Spastics International Medical Publications London; 1982:22-59:chap 2.
2. ~~Lockwood CJ, Magriples U. Prenatal care: Initial assessment. Wolters Kluwer. Updated May 15, 2025.~~ 2. Lockwood CJ, Magriples U. Prenatal care: Initial assessment. Updated February 23, 2026. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/prenatal-care-initial-assessment
3. de Jong A, Maya I, van Lith JM. Prenatal screening: current practice, new developments, ethical challenges. *Bioethics*. 2015;29(1):1-8. doi:10.1111/bioe.12123
4. ACOG. Committee Opinion No.682: Microarrays and Next-Generation Sequencing Technology: The Use of Advanced Genetic Diagnostic Tools in Obstetrics and Gynecology. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. Dec 2016;128(6):e262-e268. doi:10.1097/aog.0000000000001817
5. ~~Miller D. Use of chromosomal microarray in obstetrics. Updated July 31, 2024. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/use-of-chromosomal-microarray-in-obstetrics~~5. Miller D. Prenatal diagnosis of chromosomal imbalance: Chromosomal microarray. Updated July 31, 2024. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/prenatal-diagnosis-of-chromosomal-imbalance-chromosomal-microarray
6. LabCorp. Inheritest. https://www.integratedgenetics.com/patients/pre-pregnancy/inheritest
7. Hoskovec J, Hardisty EE, Talati AN, et al. Maternal carrier screening with single-gene NIPS provides accurate fetal risk assessments for recessive conditions. *Genetics in Medicine*. 2023;25(2):100334. doi:10.1016/j.gim.2022.10.014
8. BillionToOne. UNITY Complete: The New Standard In Prenatal Care. https://www.unityscreen.com/
9. BillionToOne. Unity. https://billiontoone.com/products/
10. Alford B, Landry BP, Hou S, et al. Validation of a non-invasive prenatal test for fetal RhD, C, c, E, K and Fya antigens. *Scientific Reports*. 2023/08/07 2023;13(1):12786. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-39283-3
11. Calhoun D, Marvin Bahr T. Alloimmune hemolytic disease of the newborn: Postnatal diagnosis and management. Updated July 15, 2024. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/alloimmune-hemolytic-disease-of-the-newborn-postnatal-diagnosis-and-management
12. ~~Moise K. RhD alloimmunization: Prevention in pregnant and postpartum patients. Updated September 17, 2024.~~ 12. Moise K. RhD alloimmunization: Prevention in pregnant and postpartum patients. Updated February 17, 2026. https://www.uptodate.com/contents/rhd-alloimmunization-prevention-in-pregnant-and-postpartum-patients
13. Daniels G, Finning K, Martin P, Summers J. Fetal RhD genotyping: a more efficient use of anti-D immunoglobulin. *Transfus Clin Biol*. 2007;14(6):568-71. doi:10.1016/j.tracli.2008.03.007
14. Kent J, Farrell AM, Soothill P. Routine administration of Anti-D: the ethical case for offering pregnant women fetal RHD genotyping and a review of policy and practice. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2014;14:87. doi:10.1186/1471-2393-14-87
15. Finning K, Martin P, Summers J, Massey E, Poole G, Daniels G. Effect of high throughput RHD typing of fetal DNA in maternal plasma on use of anti-RhD immunoglobulin in RhD negative pregnant women: prospective feasibility study. *Bmj*. 2008;336(7648):816-8. doi:10.1136/bmj.39518.463206.25
16. de Haas M, Thurik FF, van der Ploeg CP, et al. Sensitivity of fetal RHD screening for safe guidance of targeted anti-D immunoglobulin prophylaxis: prospective cohort study of a nationwide programme in the Netherlands. *Bmj*. Nov 7 2016;355:i5789. doi:10.1136/bmj.i5789

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

17. Manfroi S, Calisesi C, Fagiani P, et al. Prenatal non-invasive foetal RHD genotyping: diagnostic accuracy of a test as a guide for appropriate administration of antenatal anti-D immunoprophylaxis. *Blood Transfus*. 2018;16(6):514-524. doi:10.2450/2018.0270-17
18. Yesilcinar I, Guvenc G. Counselling and education for prenatal screening and diagnostic tests for pregnant women: Randomized controlled trial. *Int J Nurs Pract*. 2021;27(5):e13000. doi:10.1111/ijn.13000
19. Migliorini S, Saccone G, Silvestro F, et al. First-trimester screening based on cell-free DNA vs combined screening: A randomized clinical trial on women's experience. *Prenat Diagn*. 2020;40(11):1482-1488. doi:10.1002/pd.5800
20. Biro O, Rigo J, Jr., Nagy B. Noninvasive prenatal testing for congenital heart disease - cell-free nucleic acid and protein biomarkers in maternal blood. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. Mar 2020;33(6):1044-1050. doi:10.1080/14767058.2018.1508437
21. Persico N, Boito S, Ischia B, et al. Cell-free DNA testing in the maternal blood in high-risk pregnancies after first-trimester combined screening. *Prenatal Diagnosis*. 2016;36(3):232-236.
22. Dondorp W, de Wert G, Bombard Y, et al. Non-invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy and beyond: challenges of responsible innovation in prenatal screening. *European journal of human genetics : EJHG*. 2015;23(11):1438-1450. doi:10.1038/ejhg.2015.57
23. Gil MM, Akolekar R, Quezada MS, Bregant B, Nicolaides KH. Analysis of cell-free DNA in maternal blood in screening for aneuploidies: meta-analysis. *Fetal diagnosis and therapy*. 2014;35(3):156-73. doi:10.1159/000358326
24. Martinez-Payo C, Bada-Bosch I, Martinez-Moya M, Perez-Medina T. Clinical results after the implementation of cell-free fetal DNA detection in maternal plasma. *The journal of obstetrics and gynaecology research*. 2018;44(8):1369-1376. doi:10.1111/jog.13672
25. Mackie FL, Hemming K, Allen S, Morris RK, Kilby MD. The accuracy of cell-free fetal DNA-based non-invasive prenatal testing in singleton pregnancies: a systematic review and bivariate meta-analysis. *Bjog*. 2017;124(1):32-46. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.14050
26. Clausen FB, Steffensen R, Christiansen M, et al. Routine noninvasive prenatal screening for fetal RHD in plasma of RhD-negative pregnant women-2 years of screening experience from Denmark. *Prenat Diagn*. 2014;34(10):1000-5. doi:10.1002/pd.4419
27. Yang H, Llewellyn A, Walker R, et al. High-throughput, non-invasive prenatal testing for fetal rhesus D status in RhD-negative women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med*. Feb 14 2019;17(1):37. doi:10.1186/s12916-019-1254-4
28. Darlington M, Carbonne B, Mailloux A, et al. Effectiveness and costs of non-invasive foetal RHD genotyping in rhesus-D negative mothers: a French multicentric two-arm study of 850 women. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18(1):496. doi:10.1186/s12884-018-2114-5
29. Runkel B, Bein G, Sieben W, Sow D, Polus S, Fleer D. Targeted antenatal anti-D prophylaxis for RhD-negative pregnant women: a systematic review. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2020;20(1):83. doi:10.1186/s12884-020-2742-4
30. Westin ER, Tsao DS, Atay O, et al. Validation of single-gene noninvasive prenatal testing for sickle cell disease. *American Journal of Hematology*. 2022;97(7)doi:10.1002/ajh.26570
31. Zhu X, Chen M, Wang H, et al. Clinical utility of expanded noninvasive prenatal screening and chromosomal microarray analysis in high risk pregnancies. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;doi:10.1002/uog.22021
32. Zhang H, He J, Teng Y, et al. Non-invasive prenatal testing for dominant single-gene disorders using targeted next-generation sequencing. *Qjm*. Feb 21 2025;doi:10.1093/qjmed/hcaf017

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

33. Gregg AR, Aarabi M, Klugman S, et al. Screening for autosomal recessive and X-linked conditions during pregnancy and preconception: a practice resource of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med*. 2021;23(10):1793-1806. doi:10.1038/s41436-021-01203-z
34. Deignan JL, Astbury C, Cutting GR, et al. CFTR variant testing: a technical standard of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genetics in Medicine*. 2020/08/01/ 2020;22(8):1288-1295. doi:10.1038/s41436-020-0822-5
35. Deignan JL, Gregg AR, Grody WW, et al. Updated recommendations for CFTR carrier screening: A position statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genetics in Medicine*. 2023;25(8):100867. doi:10.1016/j.gim.2023.100867
36. ACOG. Committee Opinion No. 690: Carrier Screening in the Age of Genomic Medicine. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. Mar 2017;129(3):e35-e40. doi:10.1097/aog.0000000000001951
37. ACOG. Consumer Testing for Disease Risk: ACOG Committee Opinion, Number 816. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. Jan 1 2021;137(1):e1-e6. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000004200
38. ACOG. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 727: Cascade Testing: Testing Women for Known Hereditary Genetic Mutations Associated With Cancer. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. Jan 2018;131(1):e31-e34. doi:10.1097/aog.0000000000002457
39. ACOG. Practice Bulletin No. 162: Prenatal Diagnostic Testing for Genetic Disorders. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. May 2016;127(5):e108-e122. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000001405
40. ACOG. Practice Bulletin No. 181: Prevention of Rh D Alloimmunization. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. Aug 2017;130(2):e57-e70. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002232
41. ACOG. ACOG Clinical Practice Update: Paternal and Fetal Genotyping in the Management of Alloimmunization in Pregnancy. 2024;doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000005630
~~42. ACOG. Rho(D) Immune Globulin Shortages.~~ 42. ACOG. Rho(D) Immune Globulin Shortages. Updated July 9, 2024. https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/practice-advisory/articles/2024/03/rhod-immune-globulin-shortages
43. ACOG. Screening for Fetal Chromosomal Abnormalities. Updated January 2026. https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/practice-advisory/articles/2026/01/screening-for-fetal-chromosomal-abnormalities
~~43. ACOG. Committee Opinion No. 691: Carrier Screening for Genetic Conditions. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. Mar 2017;129(3):e41-e55. doi:10.1097/aog.0000000000001952~~44. ACOG. Committee Opinion No. 691: Carrier Screening for Genetic Conditions. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. Mar 2017;129(3):e41-e55. doi:10.1097/aog.0000000000001952
~~44. ISPD. Joint Position Statement from the International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis (ISPD), the Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM), and the Perinatal Quality Foundation (PQF) on the use of genome-wide sequencing for fetal diagnosis. *Prenat Diagn*. 2018;38(1):6-9. doi:10.1002/pd.5195~~45. ISPD. Joint Position Statement from the International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis (ISPD), the Society for Maternal Fetal Medicine (SMFM), and the Perinatal Quality Foundation (PQF) on the use of genome-wide sequencing for fetal diagnosis. *Prenat Diagn*. 2018;38(1):6-9. doi:10.1002/pd.5195
~~45. Palomaki GE, Chiu RWK, Pertile MD, et al. International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis Position Statement: cell free (cf)DNA screening for Down syndrome in multiple pregnancies. *Prenat Diagn*. 2021;41(10):1222-1232. doi:10.1002/pd.5832~~46. Palomaki GE, Chiu RWK, Pertile MD, et al. International Society for Prenatal Diagnosis Position Statement: cell free (cf)DNA screening for Down syndrome in multiple pregnancies. *Prenat Diagn*. 2021;41(10):1222-1232. doi:10.1002/pd.5832

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.

~~46. Sandler SG, Flegel WA, Westhoff CM, et al. It's time to phase in RHD genotyping for patients with a serologic weak D phenotype. College of American Pathologists Transfusion Medicine Resource Committee Work Group. *Transfusion*. 2015;55(3):680-9. doi:10.1111/trf.12941~~47. Sandler SG, Flegel WA, Westhoff CM, et al. It's time to phase in RHD genotyping for patients with a serologic weak D phenotype. College of American Pathologists Transfusion Medicine Resource Committee Work Group. *Transfusion*. 2015;55(3):680-9. doi:10.1111/trf.12941

~~47. Regan F, Veale K, Robinson F, et al. Guideline for the investigation and management of red cell antibodies in pregnancy: A British Society for Haematology guideline. *Transfus Med*. Feb 2025;35(1):3-23. doi:10.1111/tme.13098~~48. Regan F, Veale K, Robinson F, et al. Guideline for the investigation and management of red cell antibodies in pregnancy: A British Society for Haematology guideline. *Transfus Med*. Feb 2025;35(1):3-23. doi:10.1111/tme.13098

XI. Revision History

Revision Date	Summary of Changes
06/03/2026	Reviewed and Updated: Updated background, guidelines, and evidence-based scientific references. Literature review necessitated the following changes in coverage criteria: Addition of new CC1 requiring genetic counseling: "1) Genetic counseling IS REQUIRED for individuals prior to and after undergoing genetic testing for prenatal or pre-conception care." Added CPT code 0632U, 0633U (effective date 7/1/2026) Revised code description for CPT code 0488U (effective date 7/1/2026)

Confidential and Proprietary Information of Avalon Health Services, LLC, d/b/a Avalon Healthcare Solutions. All Rights Reserved.